

### REMARKS

In the outstanding Office Action the Examiner alleges that restriction under 35 USC §121 is required and requested Applicants to elect one of the alleged inventions, Invention I, claims 7, 11-15 and Invention II, claims 9 and 16-20 for further prosecution in the merits.

In response to the restriction requirement, Applicants hereby elect without traverse for further prosecution on the merits the Invention I, claims 7 and 11-15. Therefore, prosecution should proceed based on claims 7 and 11-15.

The present Amendment adds new claims 21-32 which depend from claim 7. Therefore, examination of the present application should proceed based on claims 7, 11-15 and 21-32.

Applicants hereby reserve their right to pursue the invention as set forth in the non-elected Invention II, claims 9 and 16-20 in a divisional application.

To the extent necessary, the applicants petition for an extension of time under 37 CFR 1.136. Please charge any shortage in fees due in connection with the filing of this paper, including extension of time fees, or credit any overpayment of fees, to the deposit account of Antonelli, Terry, Stout & Kraus, LLP, Deposit Account No. 01-2135 (520.38682X00).

Respectfully submitted,  
ANTONELLI, TERRY, STOUT & KRAUS, LLP



---

Carl I. Brundidge  
Registration No. 29,621

CIB/jdc  
(703) 312-6600